App F IX 4

Previous References (194/)

File No 92-41

Serial Nos 3, 4, 41, 42, 60, 61

Later References

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial No

Part B for May 42

SUBJECT

Sale of site No 8 in Maudya New Town extension

To,

The D C Maudya

L 11031/ 19 5 42

Regarding the suggestion of the D C Maudya re the —

Note 27 5 42

Serial No

From The Dy Commr, Mandya Dt
No A3e 3036/40 41 Dated 2nd July 1941
Requests Orders of Govt regarding the disposal of Site in Mandya Town in future & to the Cancellation of the resolution of the Mandya Town Mcl sanctioning a Site in favour of one Mr Venkatachar

1) The Dy Commr states that Site No 8 in the Mandya New Town Extension, was resolved by the Town Improvt Committee, to be given over to One Venkatachar at an upset price.

2) But subsequently the Site in question was advertised for sale, of one Mr Shamanna brought it for Rs 200/

3) When the question of Confirmation of the Sale in favour of the Purchaser arose, the Mcl resolved that the Sale be Cancelled & the Site given over to Mr. Venkatachar for Rs 210/

4) The Dy Commr states that the above action of the Mcl would lead to the loss of faith & Confidence among the public in such Sales

5) In the Circumstances the Dc Solicits Orders of Govt, that the Sites, once sold in public auction should not be disposed of at an upset price & to the Cancellation of the resolution of the Mcl sanctioning the Site in favour of one Mr Venkatachar

Submd for orders

7/7/41 1) The site in question may be given away to the auction

NOTE—Contn Sheet

Disposal of certain lots in the Mandya Town

File No 124 1/41 of 1941 — General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial No

purchased for Rs 200 / auction price / — or Rs 220 price offered by Mr Shamanna subsequently. It is illegal to go beyond the auction sale

2) If need be, another similar site may be given to Mr Venkatachar for an upset price as he is reported to be a poor pensioner and disabled

3) A to B is submitted for orders

Law Secy 4/7/41 — A

Sale of lots

4) It is no doubt true that the sites sold in auction ought not to be set aside capriciously and subsequently the sites disposed of at an upset price. But it may not be proper to issue any order on the lines suggested by the D C Each case has to be dealt with on its merits A general order may cause difficulties in practice

5) In the present case, the sale was held subject to its confirmation by the Municipal Council, who had a right to confirm it or not The resolution of the Municipal Council is not ultra vires or illegal. It is within their power, though morally they ought to have confirmed the sale But the Government's interference may not — B

Serial No

be
proper and may be even resented by the Municipal Council Moreover this is a very small matter which it may well be left to the good sense of the Council

11) The suggestions of the D C may not be approved.

Minister
L S G

Hm
2/7/41.

OFFICE OF THE MINISTER FOR L S G & P H
Dt 11/7/41
No 246

12) It is not clear as to how the decision of the Municipal Council to put this site up at an upset price of Rs 31,000 & the Venkatachar was not offered to and a resale was brought about or he prepared to have the site at this above upset price

Hm
21 7 41

Hm
22/7/41

13) A draft letter to the D C Kurnool & a Patwari for approval

Hm
24/7/41

14) Issue

Hm a 4

To The D C Kurnool
No L 669 dt 28 7 41
Copies for further information

NOTE—Contn Sheet 4

Hm A

Serial No

Letter no AS-C-3036/40 41 dated 15.12.41 from the Deputy Comr, Mandya bringing his report in the matter

15) The Deputy Commissioners report is submitted for perusal. PUD

16) It is stated that Mr Venkalachar to whom Site no 8 was granted at an upset price of Rs 312/- has now requested that the Site may be given to him for Rs 200 only and therefore the transaction could not be completed with him. In view of this difficulty the Municipal Council appear to have brought the Site for a public auction when it was knocked down in favour of one Mr Shamanna for Rs 200/-. After this, Mr Venkalachar offered Rs 210 for the Site and Mr Shamanna came forward to have the Site at Rs 220/-

17) It is obvious from the report of the D.C. that the matter in this case has not been dealt with in a business like way. When the Site was brought for auction it should ought to have been made clear that the sale at an upset price was cancelled as the party did not abide by the terms of the transaction and there should have been no consideration given for his second offer of Rs 210 after the Site was sold for

Sale of Site no 8 in Mandya New Town extension

3527/L

General and Revenue Secretariat | ML File No | of 1941 | [App F III-2

Serial No

to Mr Shamanna in a public auction. But the records do not reveal if Mr Venkatachar had accepted to have the Site for Rs 312/- or it was merely an offer by the municipal council. As the Site was brought for sale in an open auction, it is presumed to be that the transaction with Mr Venkatachar to give the Site at an upset price did not materialise. In this view, the auction sale held should be considered as valid and has to be upheld. The views of the Dy Comr appear to be correct.

Submitted for orders.

Km 18 The facts of the case, as gleaned from the papers are 27/12/41

(1) The Town Improvement Committee, Mandya, as constituted from the Municipal Council, resolved on 20-2-40 that Site No 8 be given to one Mr Venkatachar at an upset price of Rs 312. The Municipality thought that better prices could be secured by putting up the site for sale in a public

NOTE—Cont Sheet

WD 041 5- GPB—D 000—23 10 41

Serial No

auction Sale in public auction is however a procedure approved by Govt. To this extent, the Municipal Councils' action may be held as free from flaw especially because the previous proposal of the Improvement Committee to give the site away at an upset price had not been adopted at a plenary meeting of the M C (as the papers show)

When accordingly the auction did come about, one Shanmuga was the successful bidder for Rs 200 Venkatachar seems to have offered Rs 107 more for the site after it was knocked down to Shanmuga The M C have accepted the latter offer This is a procedure opposed to all accepted canons of public action

The M C have thus bungled in two ways They brought back an auction would bring more money than selling the site in question for an upset price They were ill-advised Secondly, they overpassed the rules of auction

The D C's proposal

Disposal of Sale No. 8 — Mendon Forest

Serial No

1) to Cancel the Council's Resolution in favour of Venkatachar so that the person, Shamanna to whom the sale No 8 was knocked down in public auction, might in virtue of his superior right get the sale.

(2) — to direct that sales held in public auction should not subsequently be disposed of at an upset price

— May be approved.

2) Reference Members orders at para 12 Sec 24(1) Pl put up H file. 87—3940 27/12 — 1) What are the legal implications of cancelling the results of the sale?

Is there provision for it in the Mysore Land Revenue Act 1933?

Submitted 29/12/41

2) The collection referred to is not readily available.

2) The sale of the site does not require Sanction of Govt as its value is below Rs 1000/-

3) Action is therefore to be taken under

NOTE—Contn Sheet

WD 04155—G1B—55 000—23 10 41

Disposal of Site no 8 - Mandya Town

35-5-7/A
ML File No of 1941

General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial No

the decision of the Mcl
section 194(1) as it is likely to cause
injury or annoyance to the public -
The Deputy Comm may be requested
to Show Cause suspend the Resolution in
question when the Municipal Council
can be required to Show Cause Why the
by-laws order Should not be confirmed
Action under this section will give
a reasonable opportunity for the Mcl
also to explain their position on the matter

Kms
25-1-42
Rs
25/1

2. With refce to the Minister's orders at para 12 supra, it is submitted that the President, Mandya Town Mcl has explained the circs under which the decision of the Town Improvement Committee to sell away the Site no 8 to Mr Venkatachar for Rs 312/- was not adhered to
If the D.C recommends that the resolution of the Mcl dated 29/1/1941 to cancel the sale of the site in question to Mr Shamanna for Rs 200 be cancelled & to give it away to Mr Venkatachar for Rs 210/- be vetoed and the site be ordered to be sold by auction, as it is likely

Maybe authority affirmative when the

Serial No

to fetch a higher price

With regard to this limit, it may be stated that this was a resolution passed by the Mandya Town Municipal Council and the usual formalities to set it aside have to be observed. As this result not only causes annoyance or injury to the public but is also illegal as the sale by public auction is in the nature of a contract, the D.C. Mandya will be required to take necessary action under Section 194 of the City Municipal Act without observing the formalities prescribed therein, it seems that Govt have no power to veto the results of the public auction dated 29-1-1941

Secretary to Govt
26/1/42

'A' may be approved

Minister for L.S.G. 27/1/42

May be submitted after the return of the Minister

OFFICE OF THE MINISTER FOR L.S.G. & P.W. Date 2-2-42 No 4665

2. The question whether the resolution of the municipal council in setting aside the auction sales moved among

NOTE—Contn Sheet

SECY LAW DEPT 27 JAN 42 GOVT OF MYSORE

4419/41
P

File No 3557/4 of 194

10 FEB 1942

General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial No

6 an act which is illegal or ultra vires may be examined by the Law Section

HM 7262

Hm 9/2/42
Assistant Secy Law Section
WRC
10/2/42 Dance

Law 166/41
10th February 1962

3 18/2/42

4 From the Sale list, I find that the Sale was held subject to the conditions laid down in the Sale Proclamation. I do not find that Sale Proclamation. Please get me that Sale proclamation for perusal & action on the point raised by the Minister

Asst Secy Law
WRC
3 18/2/42

5 to Dc Mandya Asstr
Please get a copy of the sale notification from

9/2/42

18/2/42

6 Submitted with a draft letter to the D C Mandya Asstr.

Hm 19/2/42
Dance 19/2/42

Sale of Site no 8 in the Mandya Town Extension

5763/41

General and Revenue Secretariat | ML File No 5-483 of 1941 | [App F III-2

Serial No

To

The D C Mandya Dist-
No L 75-93 dated 24-2-42
calls for the sale proclamation
according to which the site was disposed of

Sec's

Letter no A S-3036/40-41 dated 14-3-42
from the Dy Comr, Mandya Dist; forwarding

Flag R

a copy of the Sale proclamation

38) This will be sent to the
Law Section with reference to para 3 of the letter

Sec's 22/3/42
Sec's 22/3

Asst Sec'y Law Dept) Sec's 23/3/42

Law 166/41

It is only after the Sale is
confirmed that the Sale becomes complete & the
purchaser of the Sale, the Vendor has full
powers either to accept or reject the bid in toto
assigning any reasons, & in the case, it is the
Municipality that has to confirm the Sale
However the resolution to cancel
cancelling the Sale, does not seem to be either
illegal or ultra vires

Law Secretary) Sec's 7/5/42

I do not think that the resolution is

BEGY L 1006 GOVT OF MYSORE

NOTE—Cont Sheet

WD 141 —GIB—5 000—23 10 41

Sale of Site no 8 in the Mandya Town Ward 14

VH File No 511/ of 1941 | General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial No

either illegal or ultravires of the Municipal Council It may be irregular and arbitrary The Govt may not approve of the same, if they seek Govt's ~~order~~ sanction The Value of the site is not more than Rs 2101- ~~If the~~ No sanction of Govt is necessary under S 38 (4) of the Town Municipalities Act 1933 The purchaser at auction may have a right of suit for specific performance or damages against the Municipality, if they act arbitrarily However, the act of the Municipality cannot be interfered with under S 196 of the Act nor can the suggestion of the D C be accepted

Munisy
L S G

Hm
7/5/42

7/5/42

10 5 42

Hm
11/5/42

11/5/42

Submitted with a draft letter to the D C Mandya please

15 5 42

15/5/42

OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR OF L S G & P W
Dt 2.5.1942
6978

Serial No

I

Mr D C Mandya Secy.
No L 11031 dated 19-5-42
Rejects the suggestion of the D C Mandya Secy.

B
19/5/42

NOTE—Cont. Sheet

File No of 194

General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial No

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
MANDYA DISTRICT

No.A5 C 3036/40-41
Encl 7

Mandya, Dated 2nd J u l y 194 1

FROM

C M H RANAJODHA SINGH, Esq, B A
Deputy Commissioner, Mandya District,

92-41-3

SECY LAW DEPT 4 JULY 1941 GOVT OF MYSORE

GEN & REV SECRETARIAT 5 JULY 1941 MANDYA BRANCH

T V NARAYANA RAO, Esq , B A , LL B ,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
L A W *Department,*
BANGALORE

SIR,

Subject Regarding the sale of site No 8 in Mandya New Town Extension

-- o --

In forwarding herewith in original the petition of N Shamanna, together with the connected papers in original regarding the sale of site No 8 in Mandya Town in favour of Venkatachar, I have the honour to state as follows

The Town Improvement Committee, Mandya who had met on 20-2-40 resolved that site No 8 may be given to Venkatachar at an upset price of 0-6-0 sq yd , the dimension of the site being East and West 93½ feet North and South 80 ft approximately 832 square yards, in view of his being a poor pensioner and disabled to obtain sites in Public Sales The value of the site in question, if calculated according to the upset price fixed by the Town Improvement Committee comes to be Rs 312-0-0

Subsequently, the same site was auctioned on 25-1-41 in the presence of the President of the Municipality when it fetched a bid of Rs 200/-, the bidder being Mr Shamanna, the petitioner

When question of confirming the sale in favour of the purchaser, was brought before the Municipal Council they resolved that the sale in favour of Mr Shamanna be cancelled, and the site given over to Mr Venkatachar as recommended by

by the Town Improvement Committee in view of his offering Rs 10/- over and above the sale amount of Rs 200/- Mr Shamanna presented a petition to this office stating that he would pay Rs 20/- over and above the original sale amount, as he had learnt that the Municipal Council were about to - dispose it of in favour of another for Rs 210/-

This is a case which strikes me as being very peculiar, as attempt has been made to get over the sale by giving the site to Venkatachar at an upset price The sites once sold in Public Auction should in the usual course be confirmed in favour of the sale purchaser if it is not otherwise irregular or illegal Otherwise the confidence of the Public in such sales will be badly shaken

I therefore, request you kindly to obtain the orders of Government that the sites sold in future in Mandya Town, in Public Auction should not subsequently be disposed of at an upset price, as also to cancel the present resolution of the Council sanctioning the site in favour of r Venkatachar

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
MANDYA DISTRICT

CR

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT

Section Mt

File No 92-41

Serial No 4

No of letter replied to

No 1669/

To The D.C Nandya St

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *19*

Sir,

Subject - Re the Sale of Site No 8 in the Nandya town Extension

Date of issue 25th July 1941

Signed by Mr. N. Srinivachar

I adverting to your letter No A S C 3036/40-41 dated 2nd July 41; soliciting orders on the above subject, I am directed to observe that it is not clear as to how the decision of the Nandya town hall to give the site in question at an upset price of Rs 312/- & Mr Venkatachar town not adhered to & of a resale town brought about, & to enquire whether Mr Venkatachar is prepared to have the sale of the above upset price

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

WD 086—GPB—40 000—30 7 40

Secretary to Govt
Revenue Dept

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat,

No L669/RC92-41-4 *Dated Bangalore,* 28th July 1941.

FROM

The Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Law Department.

To

The Deputy Commissioner,
Mandya District.

Sir,

Subject - Re the sale of site No.8 in the Mandya town extension.

Adverting to your letter No.A.5.C.3036/40-41 dated 2nd July 1941 soliciting orders on the above subject, I am directed to observe that it is not clear as to how the decision of the Mandya Town Municipal Council to give the site in question at/an upset price of Rs.312/- to Mr.Venkatachar was not adhered to and a resale was brought about, and to enquire whether Mr.Venkatachar is prepared to have the site in question at the above upset price.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

For Secretary to Government,
Law Department.

3567/L

No A5 C 3036/40-41
Encls 2

Office of the Deputy Commissioner
Mandya District, Mandya,
Dated 15th December 1941

16 DEC 1941

Ml 92-41-41

From

C M H Ranajodha Singh, Esq , B A ,
Deputy Commissioner, Mandya District,
Mandya

SECY LAW DEPT
16 DEC 1941
GOVT OF MYSORE

To

H V Narayana Rao, Esq , B A , LL B ,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Law Department, Bangalore

Sir,

Subject - Re the sale of site No 8 in Mandya New Town Extension

---

With reference to your letter No L 669/Ml 92-41-4 dated 28th July 1941 enquiring why the decision of the Mandya Town Municipal Council to give the site in question at an upset price of Rs 312/- to Mr Venkatachar, was not adhered to, etc , I have the honour to enclose herewith a copy of Report No H C 20/40-41 dated 11th November 1941 together with its enclosure received from the President, Municipal Council, Mandya, on the above subject and to state that the party wants to have the site at an upset price of Rs 200/- only on the score that site No 9 adjoining No 8, was sold for Rs 200/- The President, Municipal Council, Mandya, has also stated that orders of Government may be obtained for the sale of the site in public auction as it is likely to fetch a higher price

2 Under these circumstances, as already requested, orders of Government may kindly be obtained cancelling the present resolution of the Council sanctioning the site in favour of Mr Venkatachar and to direct that the sites sold in public auction should not subsequently

P T O

be disposed of at an upset price

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Deputy Commissioner,
Ardya District

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT

Section M
File No 92-41
Serial No 42

Diary No of letter replied to

No 17593 Jud

To

The D C Mandya Dist.

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *19*

Sir,

Date of issue 24-2-42

With reference to the correspondence ending with your letter no A S C 3036/40-41 d 15-12-41 re the sale of Site no 8 in the Mandya New Town Extension I am directed to request you to forward a copy of the sale proclamation according to which the site in question was auctioned and disposed of

Signed by Mr G. Ramachandra Rao

I have &c

for Secy to Govt

Law Dept

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

WD 03695—GPB—30 000—29 1 40

5483/

VD 6735—GBPM—50,000—1942

194 4 S

From

C M H Ranajodha Singh, Esq , B A ,
Deputy Commissioner, Mandya District,
Mandya

To

H V Narayana Rao, Esq , B A , L B ,
Secretary to Government, Law Department
Bangalore

MC 92-41-60

Dated 14th March 1942

Despatched

Received

General No

No A5-3036/40-41

Enclosure No 1

Sir,

Subject Sale of site No 8 in
Mandya Ex New Extension

With reference to your letter No L 7593/11 92-41-42 dated 24th February 1942 calling for a copy of the sale proclamation, I have the honour to forward herewith the same for kind reference

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Deputy Commissioner,
Mandya District

sbs

ಮಂಡ್ಯ ಟವ್ನ್ ಮುನಿಸಿಪಾಲಿಟಿ

ಇನ್ತಿಹಾರ್ ತಾರೀಖು 2ನೆಯ ಜನವರಿ 1941

ಇದರಿಂದ ಸಮಸ್ತ ಜನಗಳಿಗೂ ತಿಳಿಯಪಡಿಸುವುದೇನೆಂದರೆ—ಮಂಡ್ಯ ಟ್ಔನ್, ಮಂಡ್ಯ–ಬನ್ನೂರು ರೋಡು ವೆನ್ತರ್ಸ್ ಎಕ್ಟೆನ್ಷನ್ ಮತು ಇತರೇ ಎಕ್ಸ್ಟೆನ್ಷನ್ಗಳಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಈ ಕೆಳಗೆ ನಮೂದು ಮಾಡಿರುವ ಸೈಟುಗಳನ್ನು ಮಂಡ್ಯದ ಮುನಿಸಿಪಲ್ ಪ್ರೆಸಿಡೆಂಟರವರಿಂದ 1941ನೆಯ ಜನವರಿ ಮಾಹೆ 25ನೆಯ ತಾರೀಖು ಪ್ರಾತಃಕಾಲ 9 ಗಂಟೆಗೆ ಹರಾಜು ಮಾಡಲಾಗುತ್ತೆ. ಸೈಟು ಬಳಿ ಈ ಕೆಳಗಿನ ಷರತ್ತುಗಳಿಗನುಸರಿಸಿ ಬಹಿರಂಗವಾಗಿ ಹರಾಜು ಮಾಡಲಾಗುತ್ತೆ. ಪ್ರಿಂಟೆಡ್ ಇನ್ತಿಹಾರ್ ಷರತ್ತುಗಳನ್ನೂ ಪ್ಲಾನನ್ನೂ ಮುನಿಸಿಪಲ್ ಆಫೀಸಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಆಫೀಸು ಕಾಲದಲ್ಲಿಯೂ ಹರಾಜು ದಿನ ಹರಾಜು ನಡೆಸುವ ಆಫೀಸರಲ್ಲೂ ನೋಡಲು–ಅವಕಾಶವಿದೆ ಬಿಡ್ದುದಾರರು ಈ ಕೆಳಗಿನ ಷರತ್ತುಗಳನ್ನು ಗಮನದಲ್ಲಿಟ್ಟುಕೊಂಡು ಬಿಡ್ದು ಮಾಡಬೇಕು

ಷರತ್ತುಗಳು

1 ಬಿಡ್ದುಮಾಡಲು ಅಪೇಕ್ಷಿಸುವವರು ತಾವೇ ಖುದ್ದಾಗಿಯಾಗಲಿ ತಮ್ಮ ಕಡೆ ಅಧಿಕಾರ ಪಡೆದ ಏಜಂಟರಾಗಲಿ ಸ್ಥಳದ ಬಳಿ ಹಾಜರಿದ್ದು ಒದ್ದುವಾಡಬೇಕು

2 ಯಾವ ಕಾರಣವನ್ನೂ ನಮೂದಿಸದೆ ಯಾರ ಬಿಡ್ದನ್ನು ಅಂಗೀಕರಿಸುವುದಕ್ಕೂ ಅಥವಾ ನಿರಾಕರಿಸುವುದಕ್ಕೂ ಪ್ರೆಸಿಡೆಂಟರವರಿಗೆ ಅಧಿಕಾರವಿರುತ್ತದೆ

3 ಹರಾಜು 1,000 ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಮೀರದಿದ್ದರೆ ಮುನಿಸಿಪಲ್ ಕೌನ್ಸಿಲ್ಲಿನ ಮಂಜೂರಾತಿಗೂ, 1,000 ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಮೀರಿದ್ದರೆ ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಮಂಜೂರಾತಿಗೂ ಒಳಪಟ್ಟಿರುತ್ತೆ

4 ನಡೆಸಿರುವ ಹರಾಜು ಮಂಜೂರಾಗದೆ ಇದ್ದರೂ ಅಥವಾ ಹರಾಜು ಮಂಜೂರಾಗಲು ಸಾವಕಾಶವಾದರೂ ಅಖ್ಯೆರು ಒದ್ದುದಾರನ ತಕರಾರಿಗೆ ಅವಕಾಶವಿರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ

5 ಅಖ್ಯೆರು ಬಿಡ್ದುದಾರನು ಒಟ್ಟು ಮೊಬಲಗಿನ ಶೇಕಡ 25 ಭಾಗವನ್ನು ಕೂಡ್ಲೆ ಆ ಸ್ಥಳದಲ್ಲಿಯೇ ಡಿಪಾಜಿಟ್ಟು ಮಾಡತಕ್ಕದ್ದು ಬಾಕಿ ಮೊಬಲಗನ್ನು ಹರಾಜು ನಡೆದ 15 ದಿನಗಳೊಳಗಾಗಿ ಪಾವತಿಮಾಡತಕ್ಕದ್ದು ತಪ್ಪಿದಲ್ಲಿ ಡಿಪಾಜಿಟ್ಟು ಮೊಬಲಗನ್ನು ಮುನಿಸಿಪಾಲಿಟಿಗೆ ದಂಡವಾಗಿ ಸೇರಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದಲ್ಲದೆ ಸದರಿ ಸೈಟನ್ನು ದುಸರಾ ಹರಾಜಿಗೆ ಗುರಿಪಡಿಸಿ ಇದರಿಂದ ಸಂಭವಿಸುವ ನಷ್ಟವನ್ನು ತಪ್ಪಿತಸ್ಥನಾದ ಮೊದಲು ಹರಾಜುದಾರನಿಂದ ವಸೂಲ್ಮಾಡುತ್ತೆ. ಹರಾಜು ಪೂರ್ತಿಯಾದ ಕೂಡ್ಲೆ ವಿಧಾಯಕವಾದ ಮೊಬಲಗು ಡಿಪಾಜಿಟ್ ಮಾಡದಿದ್ದಲ್ಲಿ ಆ ಕೂಡ್ಲೆ ಅಗಲೇ ಅಥವಾ ಹರಾಜು ನಡೆಸತಕ್ಕವರು ಗೊತ್ತುಮಾಡುವ ಕಾಲದಲ್ಲಿ ಅಗಲೇ, ಸದರಿ ಸೈಟನ್ನು ಪುನ ಹರಾಜಿಗೆ ಗುರಿಪಡಿಸಿ ಉಂಟಾಗುವ ಖರ್ಚು ನಷ್ಟಗಳಿಗೆ ತಪ್ಪಿತಸ್ಥನಾದ ಹಿಂದಣ ಅಖ್ಯೆರು ಬಿಡ್ದುದಾರನನ್ನು ಗುರಿಪಡಿಸಲಾಗುತ್ತೆ. ನಘಾಂಶ ಬಂದಲ್ಲಿ ಮೊದಲಿನ ಬಿಡ್ದುದಾರ್ರಿಗೆ ಯಾವ ಮೊಬಲಗು ದೊರಕುವುದಿಲ್ಲ

6 ಸೈಟನ್ನು ಕೊಂಡುಕೊಂಡವರು ಹರಾಜು ಮಂಜೂರಾದ ಮತ್ತು ಸೈಟನ್ನು ಸ್ವಾಧೀನಕ್ಕೆ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದಕ್ಕೆ 30 ದಿವಸಗಳೊಳಗಾಗಿ ಮೊದಲು ಹೆತ್ತಾಣಿ ಥಾಪಾ ಕಾಗದದಲ್ಲಿ (a) ಟೌನು ಇಂಪ್ರೂವ್ಮೆಂಟ್ ಕವಿ ಟಿಯಿಂದ ಅಪ್ರೂವಾದ ಡಿಸೈನಿಗೆ ಅನುಸರಿಸಿ ಮನೆ ಕಟ್ಟುವುದಕ್ಕೂ (b) ಸೈಟನ್ನು ಸ್ವಾಧೀನಕ್ಕೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟ ಮೂರು ತಿಂಗಳೊಳಗಾಗಿ ಕ್ರಮವಾಗಿ ಲೈಸೆನ್ಸು ಪಡೆದು ಮನೆಕಟ್ಟಲು ಪ್ರಾರಂಭಿಸಿ ಲೈಸನ್ಸು ಪಡೆದ ಒಂದು ವರ್ಷದೊಳಗಾಗಿ ಕಟ್ಟಡವನ್ನು ಕಟ್ಟಿ ಪೂರೈಸುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಬದ್ಧರಾಗಿ ಪತ್ರವನ್ನು ಬರೆದುಕೊಡಬೇಕು

7 ಈ ಷರತ್ತುಗಳಿಗನುಸರಿಸಿ ನಡೆಯದಿದ್ದಲ್ಲಿ ಪಾರ್ಟಿಯು ಯಾವ ಹಕ್ಕನ್ನೂ ಗಮನಿಸದೆ ಯಾವ ಪರಿಹಾರವನ್ನೂ ಕೊಡದೆ ಸೈಟನ್ನು ಮುನಿಸಿಪಾಲಿಟಿಗೆ ವಾಪಸು ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳಲಾಗುತ್ತೆ

8 ಒಂದು ಸೈಟೆಂದು ವಿಂಗಡಿಸಿರುವುದನ್ನು ಎರಡು ಅಥವಾ ಅನೇಕ ಸೈಟುಗಳನ್ನಾಗಿ ಮಾಡಲು ಅವಕಾಶವಿರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ ಮತ್ತು ವಾಸದ ಮನೆ ಕಟ್ಟುವುದಕ್ಕಲ್ಲದೆ ಸದರಿ ಸೈಟನ್ನು ಬೇರೆ ಕೆಲಸಗಳಿಗೆ ಉಪಯೋಗಿಸಲು ಅವಕಾಶವಿರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ

9 ಕ್ರಯದಾರನು ಮೇಲ್ಕಂಡ ಷರತ್ತುಗಳಿಗೆ ಬದ್ಧನಾಗಿರಬೇಕಲ್ಲದೆ ಮಂಡ್ಯ ಟ್ಔನ್ ಮುನಿಸಿಪಾಲಿಟಿಯು "ಬಿಲ್ಡಿಂಗ್ ಬೈಲಾ ಗಳಿಗೂ ವಕ್ತ್ತು ಆಗಿಂದಾಗ್ಗೆ ಸಂದರ್ಭಾನುಸಾರ ರೂಲ್ಸ್ ಅನುಸರಿಸಿ ಕೊಡತಕ್ಕ ಇತರ ನಿಯಮಗಳಿಗೂ ಬದ್ಧನಾಗಿರಬೇಕು

10 ಈ ಕೆಳಗಿನ ಷೆಡ್ಯೂಲಿನಲ್ಲಿ ನಮೂದು ಮಾಡಿರುವ ಸೈಟುಗಳ ಅಳತೆಗಳು ಕರಾರ್ವಾಕ ಅಲ್ಲ ಸ್ವಲ್ಪ ಹೆಚ್ಚುಕಡಮೆ ಇರಬಹುದು ಮತ್ತು ಇವುಗಳನ್ನು ಕರಾರುವಾಕ ಅಳತೆಯಿಂದ ಅಳತೆಗಳನ್ನು ಪರಿಷ್ಕರಿಸಿಕೊಡುವ ಷರತ್ತಿಗೆ ಒಳಪಡಿಸುತ್ತದೆ ಪ್ರತಿಯೊಂದು ಸೈಟನ್ನು ಬೇರೆ ಬೇರೆಯಾಗಿ ಕ್ರಮವಾಗಿ ಹರಾಜು ಮಾಡತಕ್ಕಾಗುತ್ತೆ. ಸೈಟನ್ನು ಹರಾಜು ಮಾಡುವ ಕ್ರಮವೂ ಯಾವ ಸೈಟನ್ನು ಉತ್ತರೋತ್ತರ ಹರಾಜು ಹಾಕಲು ಉಳಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕೆಂಬ ವಿಚಾರವೂ ಪ್ರೆಸಿಡೆಂಟರವರ ತೀರ್ಮಾನಕ್ಕೆ ಒಪಟ್ಟಿದ್ದಾಗಿರುತ್ತೆ

ಮಂಡ್ಯ–ಬನ್ನೂರು ರೋಡು ಪಶ್ಚಿಮ ಬಡಾವಣೆಯಲ್ಲಿ

ಸೈಟು ನಂಬರು	ಪ್ರಮಾಣ		ಸೈಟು ನಂಬರು	ಪ್ರಮಾಣ	
	ಉದ್ದ ಅಡಿ	ಅಗಲ ಅಡಿ		ಉದ್ದ ಅಡಿ	ಅಗಲ ಅಡಿ
9b	55	100			
67	100	$\frac{33+46}{2}$	ಮಂಡ್ಯಾ ನ್ಯೂ ಟೌನ್ ಬಡಾವಣೆ ಕಾಚೇಜು ಸೈಟು		
75	60	55	8	$93\frac{1}{2}$	80
76	60	40	9	$98\frac{1}{2}$	80
77	60	40	10	$98\frac{1}{2}$	80
78	60	$\frac{58+66}{2}$			
81	60	40	ಇಂಡಿಯನ್ ಕ್ರಿಶ್ಚಿಯನ್ ಕಾಲೋನಿ		
82	60	40			
83	60	40	9	80	$117\frac{1}{2}$
84	60	40	10	80	$117\frac{1}{2}$
86	56	40	66 to 110	40	55 each site
87	56	40			
88	56	40			
91	56	52	ಮಂಡ್ಯಾ ನ್ಯೂ ಟೌನ್ ದಕ್ಷಿಣ ಬಡಾವಣೆ		
113	56	50			
114	56	50	12	90	80
115	56	52			
117	56	40	ಅದಿಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಕಾಲೋನಿ ಪಶ್ಚಿಮ ಬಡಾವಣೆ		
118	56	40			
119	56	40	142	56	85 } *
120	56	40	143	56	85 }
121	56	$\frac{46+58}{2}$	144	56	80
			145	56	80
129	53	52	146	56	80
130	53	50	147	56	80
148	60	50	148	56	80
			149	56	80
ಮಂಡ್ಯಾ–ಬನ್ನೂರು ರಸ್ತೆ ಪೂರ್ವ ಬಡಾವಣೆಯಲ್ಲಿ			150	56	80
			152	59	80
27	120	80	183	$\frac{80+33}{2}$	59
28	120	80			
12	$117\frac{1}{2}$	80	184	$\frac{137}{2}$	151

ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು ಕಛೇರಿ ಕಟ್ಟಡ ಕಟ್ಟಿಸುವುದಕ್ಕಾಗಿ ರಿಜರ್ವ್ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದ ಸ್ಥಳದಲ್ಲಿ ಎಂಗಡಿಸುವ ಸೈಟುಗಳು—(ಕಾಚೇಜು ಸೈಟ್‌ಗಳು) ‡

1	$117\frac{1}{2}$	$62\frac{1}{2}$	6	$117\frac{1}{2}$	$62\frac{1}{2}$
2	$117\frac{1}{2}$	$62\frac{1}{2}$	7	$117\frac{1}{2}$	$62\frac{1}{2}$
3	$117\frac{1}{2}$	$62\frac{1}{2}$	8	$117\frac{1}{2}$	$62\frac{1}{2}$
4	$117\frac{1}{2}$	$62\frac{1}{2}$	9	$117\frac{1}{2}$	$62\frac{1}{2}$
5	$117\frac{1}{2}$	$62\frac{1}{2}$	10	$117\frac{1}{2}$	$62\frac{1}{2}$

Western side beyond the church

ಲೂಇನ್ ಡಿಸೌಜಾ,
ಪ್ರೆಸಿಡೆಂಟ್

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT

Section RC
File No 92-41
Serial No 61

Diary No of letter replied to

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *19*

Date of issue 19/5/42

Signed by Raghava Rao

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

No L 11031

To The D C Mandya Dist-

Sir,

With reference to the correspondence ending with your letter no A 5-3036/40-41 dated 14-3-42 regarding the sale of Site no 8 in the Mandya Town extension, by the local Town unit is to one Mr Venkata char at an upset-price I am directed to state that sanction of Govt is not necessary to the sale in question and the rule is are

themselves competent to deal with the
matter under Sec 38 of the Mysore Towns Improvement Act 1933
as the Value of the Site in question
is only Rs 210 Further, as the auction Sale could
be complete only when it is confirmed by the
Govt, the resolution of the Govt cancelling the auction
referred to
is neither illegal nor ultravires

In the circumstances Govt do not
consider it necessary to interfere
in the matter. and it is regretted
that your suggestion to cancel
the said resolution of the Govt cannot
be accepted by Govt

The records accompany

I have etc

for Secy to Govt
Law Dept

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat,

No L 1103/ Ml 92-M1-61 *Dated Bangalore,* 19 May 1942

FROM

The Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

Law Department

To

The Deputy Commissioner,
Mandya District,
Mandya

S i r,

With reference to the correspondence ending with your letter No A5-3036/40-41 dated 14th March 1942 regarding the sale of site No 8 in the Mandya Town extension, by the local Town Municipal Council to one Mr Venkatachar at an upset price, I am directed to state that sanction of Government is not necessary to the sale in question and the municipal council are themselves competent to deal with the matter under Section 38 of the Mysore Town Municipalities Act 1933, as the value of the site in question is only Rs 210/- Furtner, as the auction sale could be complete only when it is confirmed by the municipal council, the resolution of the municipal council cancelling the auction referred to is neither illegal nor ultravires In the circumstances Government do not consider it necessary to interfere in the matter

The records accompany

I have the honour to be

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

for Secretary to Government,
Law Department

BHK/2